

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 14, and 15 stand rejected under 35 USC §103 as being unpatentable over Addy in view of Terranova.

Applicant has amended claims 1, 4, 6, and 14 to further distinguish over the cited references.

With respect to claim 1, the references fail to disclose

detecting movement of said user as said user walks through a number of boundaries of an adjacent checkout floor area of said self-service checkout terminal and generating a walk-away control signal in response thereto;

With respect to claim 14, the references fail to disclose

a movement detecting device for detecting movement of a user as said user walks through a number of boundaries of a checkout floor area;

a memory device ... which ... causes said processing unit to:


(b) detect movement of said user as said user walks through said boundaries of said checkout floor area of said retail store with said movement detecting device and generate a walk-away control signal in response thereto,

Applicant has added new claims 19-26 which contain
subject as indicated below:

Claim 19 Claims 1 and 3
Claim 20 Claim 2
Claim 21 Claims 1, 4, and 5
Claim 22 Claims 1, 6, and 7
Claim 23 Claims 14 and 16
Claim 24 Claim 15
Claim 25 Claim 17
Claim 26 Claim 18

Applicant now respectfully requests that the pending
claims be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul Martin
Attorney for Applicant
(937) 445-2990

Dayton, OH

MAR 16 2005